

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,512 03/10/2004		Jan Doutreloigne	938676600010	4245	
41498 75	590 08/23/2004	EXAMINER			
	BUCHEL JR., LAW OF	CUNNINGHA	CUNNINGHAM, TERRY D		
P. O. BOX 702526 DALLAS, TX 75370-2526			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2816		
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary		10/797,5	12	DOUTRELOIGNE ET AL.						
		Examine	r	Art Unit						
			Cunningham	2816						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a sepecified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided period for reply will.	N. 1.136(a). In no exercise within the state iod will apply and wature, cause the appropriate in the state.	vent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from olication to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered time! the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.					
Status	•									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on									
· · —	a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.									
3)□										
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposit	ion of Claims									
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	∑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.									
7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)∐	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	ion Papers									
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.								
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).										
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ian priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).						
	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	0		(-) (-)						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have bee	n received in Application	on No. <u>09/668,68</u> 1	<u>1</u> .					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority docum	ents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage					
	application from the International Bure	•	` ''							
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the cert	fied copies not receive	:d.						
Attach ==	Me)									
Attachment 1) Notic	u(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summer:	(PTO.442)						
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTC)-152)					
			5) L. Oulei							

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 4-6, the language therein is not understood. Firstly, it is not clear as to whether "third voltage level" in line 6 is intending to provide antecedent. It appears that --a-should be inserted before "third". And secondly, there is no support for "each of said first part and said second part" being "connected" to the "third voltage level". In lines 6-7, a "third voltage level" has already been recited in line 6.

Claim 2 is rejected as including the indefiniteness discussed above with claim 1.

Double Patenting

Statutory

It is noted that the following rejection is based insofar as the language in lines 4-6 is understood.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The

Art Unit: 2816

filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1 and 32 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,731,151. This is a double patenting rejection.

Non-Statutory

The following rejection is further deemed necessary due to the undetermined nature of this subject matter.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-2 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 32 of U.S. Patent No. 6,731,151. Although

Application/Control Number: 10/797,512 Page 4

Art Unit: 2816

the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because such anticipates the connections for the "each of said first part and second part".

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terry Cunningham whose telephone number is 571-272-1742. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TC August 21, 2004